

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,868	02/26/2002	Gregg S. Sutton	CRD-0991	1377
27777	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			THALER, MICHAEL H	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anthon Commence	10/083,868	SUTTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Thaler	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
1)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/02,11/18/03.	6)					

Art Unit: 3731

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 14, line 5, "376" should be "375". Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 15-17 (of the page), it is unclear if "variable" means that 1) each of the openings can have a diameter within the given range (This includes the possibility that all of the openings have the same diameter in a particular filter membrane.) or 2) the diameters of the openings vary in a particular filter membrane. In claim 8, although is can be seen how fibers can be attached to other fibers or other members, it is not seen how the fibers can be attached to the openings (the open spaces).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/083,868

Art Unit: 3731

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaganov et al. (5,876,367). Kaganov et al., in figure 2, disclose housing 10 having a proximal end (the right end) comprising a connector (the proximal balloon 35 shown in figure 6 and which is disclosed as being included in the figure 2 embodiment in col. 10, lines 40-44) adapted to connect to a hose (i.e. the blood vessel. Alternatively, balloon 35 is inherently capable of being connected to a man-made hose either inside or outside the blood vessel. The hose could be outside the blood vessel since the proximal ends of both tubular members 10 and 14 could be located outside the blood vessel while the distal end of the shunt is inside the blood vessel) and a distal end comprising a distal member (the left branch of housing 10) having two openings 13, 18, wherein the housing comprises a

Art Unit: 3731

first lumen 11 and a second lumen 15 extending from a port 16 to an opening 18 and vascular filter system 41, 42 comprising a filter membrane support structure (e.g. the umbrella frame described in col. 9, lines 64-67) and a filter membrane having openings of diameters which are "variable", as best understood, depending on the pore size which is chosen for the particular filter as described in col. 9, lines 7-37. The Kaganov et al. system is inherently capable of being used as a cardiopulmonary bypass filter system. As to claim 2, the Kaganov et al. system is inherently capable of being connected to a cardiopulmonary bypass bypass machine by for example, connecting the right end of tube 10 to it via a hose. As to claims 3 and 4, Kaganov et al. disclose distal flange (the distal balloon 35 shown in figure 6 and which is disclosed as being included in the figure 2 embodiment in col. 10, lines 40-44). As to claim 8, as best understood, Kaganov et al. disclose fibers (the threads which make up the filter, noting the term "thread" in col. 8, line 1 and that threads, by definition, include fibers).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaganov et al. (5,876,367). Kaganov et al. fail to disclose the openings being non-uniformly spaced. However, it is old and well known in this art to so space filter openings in order to optimize the filtering process. It would

Application/Control Number: 10/083,868

Art Unit: 3731

have been obvious to make the openings of the Kaganov et al.

filter non-uniformly spaced so that it too would have this

advantage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner

can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht 6/7/04

MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

ART UNIT 3731